

Have you seen the Bureau  
pamphlet

**"TORONTO GIVES"?**

"Toronto Gives" has chapters on

Why Toronto Gives  
How Toronto Gives  
How Much Toronto Gives  
How Toronto Controls  
Her Gifts  
A Policy for Toronto.

A few copies are still on hand. If  
you would like to have one to study  
Telephone Main 3620

**EFFECTIVE CITIZEN CO-OPERATION**

WHAT IS EVERYBODY'S BUSINESS SHOULD  
BE EACH BODY'S BUSINESS

Issued by the  
BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL RESEARCH  
813-820 Traders Bank Building, Toronto  
Telephone: Main 3620

Bulletin No. 57

September 14, 1917

Under the head of  
**Charity and Philanthropy**

the Citizens of Toronto, in eleven  
years, spent out of taxation

**\$3,000,000**

(25% of this during 1915).

Out of their own pockets they spent  
—for the same purposes—  
perhaps three times this amount, say

**\$9,000,000**

The proper raising and expenditure of philanthropic  
funds, whether public or private, is YOUR problem  
because

the community's resources are limited, and every  
dollar spent on something which is not needed means  
a dollar taken from something which is needed.

The money you contribute to community welfare work, whether out of your taxation pocket or your private philanthropy pocket, or both, goes to the support of

**Hospitals**  
**Sanitaria**  
**Creches**  
**Infants' Homes**  
**Boys' Homes**  
**Girls' Homes**  
**Old Folks' Homes**  
**Homes for Incurables**  
**Industrial Homes**  
**Relief Societies**  
**Relief Work by the Churches**  
**Settlement Work**  
**Fresh Air Funds**  
**and**  
**Other Social Agencies**

In addition to money contributed to established agencies, large sums, in the aggregate, go to incidental charity.

## Queries

1. In these eleven years, was all the money raised and spent which needed to be raised and spent for the welfare of the community as a whole?

**No one knows because no real attempt has ever been made to inventory the welfare needs of the community.**

2. From the standpoint of the community and the givers, was the money raised by the best methods?

**No. There was no unity of method and little attempt at co-operation. "Catch-as-catch-can" perhaps best describes the situation.**

3. Was all the money spent doing things the community most needed to have done and did each dollar spent secure an equivalent service?

**No. There was and is no community plan of welfare work, and where there is no community plan there cannot fail to be community waste.**