Citizen Control of the Citizen's Business

TORONTO'S CITIZENS CAN CONTROL TORONTO'S AFFAIRS ONLY THROUGH FREQUENT, PROMPT, ACCURATE AND PERTINENT INFORMATION WITH REGARD TO TORONTO'S BUSINESS.

ISSUED BY THE

21 KING STREET EAST



TELEPHONE: ELGIN 1904

White Paper No. 125

March 7th, 1928

A MILL

in monetary value is equivalent to one-tenth of a cent—a negligible value. When it is applied in the form of a tax rate to Toronto's school assessment for 1928, however, it produces \$922,717.57—by no means a negligible sum. Any increase in school or municipal expenditures sufficient to increase the tax rate one mill is, therefore, a matter of moment to Toronto's taxpayers.

A small increase in expenditures in any one service may seem of little consequence in its effect on the tax rate. The sum total of many such small increases, however, may be of great consequence. The tables in this report give a comparison of estimated revenues and expenditures in detail for the years 1927 and 1928, analyzed in relation to the rate of taxation.

CITY OF TORONTO REVENUE, ANALYZED MILL RATE, ETC 1927 and 1928 (Based on Official Estimates for each Year)

A.—TAXATION	Amount of Levy	of Levy	Mill Rate	Rate	Assessm	Assessment Basis
Purpose	1928	1927	1928	1927	1928	1262
For Public Schools. Selections.	\$8,659,643	\$8,398,412	9.90	9.75	\$874,711,455 48,006,117	\$861,375,593
For Total Schools	9,343,730	9,066,902	As above 21.90	As above 22.05	922,717,572	908,786,236
For all Purposes (gross)	28,029,718	27,599,758	31.80 P. 36.15 S.	31.80 P. 36.15 S.	As above	As above
Less Reserve	605,672	626,775	.71 M.	.75 M.	*	
Total Net Taxation	27,424,046	26,972,983		-		
B.—REVENUE OTHER THAN TAXATION	CATION	Amount	unt	Equivalent of mill rate General Assessment	mill rate on sessment	The tax rate in
SOURCE		1928	1927	1928	1927	same for the year 1928 as
Surplus available for App'n. Gen'l appropriations withdrawn General revenue	***************************************	\$ 810,388 51,990 1,238,400	\$ 262,060 1,238,350(l)	95	.32	being 31.80 mills for Public School sup-
Surplus Canadian Nat'l Exhibition Waterworks		760,772	6,278	06	.01	36.15 mills for S e p a r a t e
Total Revenue other than taxation.		2,861,550	2,134,738	3.36	2.54	School sup-
		30,285,596	29,107,721	34.45 P.S. 38.80 S.S.	33.59 P.S. 37.94 S.S.	porters.
			- 17 1000 TT.	OO THE PERSON		The second of

(l) After deduction of estimated Royal Winter Fair

CITY OF TORONTO EXPENDITURES AND ANALYZED TAX RATE 1927 and 1928

(Based on Official Estimates of each Year)

	Amount of	Expenditure	Equivalent	in Mills(2
SERVICE	1928	1927	1928	1927
Schools Industrial	\$ 12,723	\$ 12,778	.014†	.014
Secondary: Technical Commercial Collegiate	758,571 510,001 1,244,469	723,397 424,435 1,201,245	.823† .554† 1.350†	.796 .467
Elementary: Public or Separate	6,251,408 552,070	6,157,913 545,222	* 7.148 **11.500	* 7.148 **11.500
Total School Expenditure	\$ 9,329,242	\$ 9,064,990	9.90* or 14.25**	9.75* or 14.10*
General Telephone Exchange. Court of Revision. City Relief Office. Special Grants. Audit Dept. Law Dept City Council. Unemployment Relief. Architect's Dept Court's Inquests, etc Mothers' Allow. Com Assessment Dept Treasury Dept Public Libraries. Items at large Hospitals and Homes Health Dept Property Dept Property Dept Parks Dept Works Dept Street Cleaning Dept Police Dept Fire Dept Undist. Debt. Chgs	\$ 7,000 7,500 15,128 30,350 78,146 82,770 76,903 100,000 160,109 149,586 198,550 210,000 308,022 331,836 383,925 586,834 804,536 1,080,370 1,228,008 1,173,296 1,368,243 1,847,581 2,257,490 2,593,909 4,400,819	\$ 6,500 7,500 13,778 33,575 72,000 89,345 111,230 125,000 143,579 146,250 189,694 200,000 288,396 306,385 370,900 573,111 772,000 1,048,092 1,145,399 1,175,344(l) 1,326,567 1,808,323 2,031,737 2,402,210 4,304,638(l)	1.604 2.165 2.646 3.040	.000 .000 .011 .033 .088 .100 .137 .144 .177 .177 .222 .233 .344 .366 .44 .468 .911 .1.244 .1.36 .1.42 .1.57 .2.15 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41 .2.41
Total Departmental, etc	\$19,480,911	\$18,691,553	22.83	22.26
Deficits Spl. Services Housing Abattoir Royal Winter Fair Island Ferry Can. Nat. Exhibition Radials Harbour Commission	\$ 13,995 41,170 73,044 82,600 102,967 430,000 731,667	\$ 14,505 10,976 86,818 45,754 473,125 720,000	.016 .048 .084 .095 .120 .504	.01 .01 .10 .05 .53 .85
Total deficits Spl. Services	\$ 1,475,443	\$ 1,351,178	1.72	1.58
Total Gen'l Exp	20,956,354	20,042,731	24.55	23.84
Total Exp	30,285,596	29,107,721	*34.45	*33.59

⁽¹⁾ Made to conform with 1928 estimates by placing Royal Winter Fair under Special Services. *Public School. **Separate School.

⁽²⁾ Rate in mills calculated on general assessment unless otherwise noted.

^(†) Based on total school assessment.

COMMENT

- 1. The Assessment for Public School purposes increased 1.55% in 1928 over 1927 and the amount of taxation for that purpose 3.1%. For Separate School purposes these figures were 1.25% and 2.3% respectively and for General purposes 1.5% and .83% respectively.
 - 2. The mill rate for elementary public schools and for separate schools is the same in 1928 as in 1927, but increases are shown in the mill rate in 1928 for Technical Schools, Commercial Schools and Collegiates.
 - 3. Mill rates for the following general services are **up** in 1928 compared with 1927: City Relief Office, Audit Dept., Architect's Dept., Courts Inquests, etc., Mothers' Allowance, Assessment Dept., Treasury Dept., Libraries, Items at large, Hospitals and Homes, Health Dept., Property Dept., Works Dept., Street Cleaning Dept., Police Dept., Fire Dept., and undistributed Debt Charges.
 - 4. The mill rate for deficits on special services is 1.72 in 1928 compared with 1.58 in 1927.
 - 5. Based on an estimated population of 583,000 for 1928, the net (i.e., after deduction of allowances, etc.) per capita tax including schools, is \$47.04 in 1928 compared with \$47.33 in 1927. If the amount levied for ratepayers' share of local improvements were added in each case the total per capita tax in 1928 would be \$50.41 compared with \$50.54 in 1927.
 - 6. If all the assessment liable to school taxes were also liable to general taxes, the rate would have been 30.15 mills in 1928 and 1927 instead of 31.80 mills for public school supporters in each instance. For separate school supporters it would have been 34.50 instead of 36.15. If the exempted assessed value of the property of self sustaining civic commissions had been included, the tax rate would have been still lower. The withdrawal of other exemptions would still further reduce the rate. The reduction of the tax rate so effected would not reduce the total taxes or total expenditures but it would give a wider distribution of the tax burden among those who benefit from municipal expenditures out of taxation and revenues other than taxation.