## Citizen Control of the Citizen's Business

TORONTO'S CITIZENS CAN CONTROL TORONTO'S AFFAIRS ONLY THROUGH FREQUENT, PROMPT, ACCURATE AND PERTINENT INFORMATION WITH REGARD TO TORONTO'S BUSINESS.

ISSUED BY THE

21 KING STREET EAST



TELEPHONE ELGIN 1904

White Paper No. 140

May 16th, 1929

# The 1929 City Budget

STORY No. 1

The equivalent of departmental and special expenditures and of tax and general revenues in mills on the assessment, school or general.

The total gross revenue for appropriation in 1929 is \$33,009,077.25. Deducting governmental educational subventions, etc., and reserves for deferred expenditure, the total net general revenue from which the civic appropriations are made, is \$32,106,832—the total appearing herein and in the City Estimates.

# Revenue, corresponding Mill Rate, etc. 1929 - 1928 (Based on official estimates for each year) CITY OF TORONTO

ers.  \$9,346,896 \$ 8,659,643   10.20   9.90   8777,081   10,083,977   10,083,977   19,105,613   18,685,988   21.30   21.90   19,105,613   18,685,988   21.30   21.90   20,189,590   28,029,718   35.75 S. 36.15 S		Amount of Levy	of Levy	Mill Rate	Rate	Аввевяп	Assessment Basis
sp.346,896         \$ 8,659,643         10.20         9.90         8           ppporters.         10,083,977         9,343,730         14.45         14.25         14.25           incollectable, etc.         29,189,590         28,029,718         31.50 P.         31.80 P.           ation         28,580,930         27,424,046         .68         .71           Sounce         81,218,181         \$ 810,388         1.36         .95           spreopriation         81,218,181         \$ 810,388         1.36         .95           withdrawn         1,296,500         1,238,400         1.45         1.45           1,296,500         2,861,550         3.93         3.36         1.45           3,525,902         2,861,550         3.93         3.36         1.45           3,525,902         2,861,550         3.93         3.4.5 P.		1929	1928	1929	1928	1929	1928
10,083,977   9,343,730   21.30   21.90     19,105,613   18,685,988   21.30   21.90     19,105,613   18,685,988   21.50 P.   31.80 P.     19,105,613   18,685,988   21.50 P.   31.80 P.     19,105,613   28,580,930   27,424,046	Education in schools— Public school supporters. Sense achool supporters	\$9,346,896 737,081	00	10.20		\$916,362,396 51,009,041	\$874,711,455 48,006,117
ollectable, etc. 608,660 605,672 68 771  ollectable, etc. 28,580,930 27,424,046 711  HER THAN TAXATION Amount 0 general assessment 1929 1928  Source \$1,218,181 \$810,388 1.36 0.06 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.0	Total schools	10,083,977	9,343,730 18,685,988	21.30	21.90	967,371,437 896,977,126	922,717,572 853,241,469
608,660         605,672         .68         .71           28,580,930         27,424,046         Equivalent of mill rate on general assessment           1929         1928         1929         1928           \$1,218,181         \$ 810,388         1.36         .95           1,296,500         1,238,400         1.45         1.45           1,296,500         7,60,772         1.10         .90           3,525,902         2,861,550         3.93         3.36           32,285,596         34,75 P.         34,45 P.	All purposes (gross)	29,189,590	28,029,718	31.50 P. 35.75 S.	31.80 P. 36.15 S.		
28,580,930   27,424,046   Equivalent of mill rate on general assessment   1929   1928   192	Less reserve for taxes uncollectable, etc	099'809	605,672	.68	.71		
Anount 1929 1928 1929 1928 1929 1928 1928 1928	Total net taxation	28,580,930	27,424,046				
\$1,218,181         \$ 810,388         1.36         95           \$0,573         1,236,500         1,238,400         1.45         1.45           \$1,525,902         2,861,550         3.93         3.36	B BEVENITE OTHER THAN TAXATION	Am	ount	Equivalent on general	of mill rate assessment		
\$1,218,181 \$ \$10,388	D.—INEVENIOR SOURCE	1929	1928	1929	1928	The mill	The mill rate has gone
taxation	Surplus available for appropriation General appropriation withdrawn General revenue Weterschie	\$1,218,181 20,573 1,296,500 990,648	\$ 810,388 51,990 1,238,400 760,772	1.36 .02 1.45 1.10	.95 .06 1.45 .90	down for be Separate S ers. If all 1 be raised be would have	down for both Fubic and Separate School support- ers. If all revenue had to be raised by taxation, it would have gone up slight-
32 106 832 30.285.596 34.75 P.	Total revenue other than taxation	3,525,902	2,861,550			ly.	
net taxation) 39.00 S.	Total revenue (including net taxation)	32,106,832	30,285,596	34.75 P. 39.00 S.	34.45 P. 38.80 S.		

S.—Separate School Supporters. P.—Public School Supporters.

### CITY OF TORONTO

### The equivalent of expenditures in mills on the assessment (Based on official estimates for each year)

	Amount of Expenditures		Equivalent in Mills	
Service	1929	1928	1929	1928
Education in schools .Industrial	\$ 12,727	\$ 12,723	.013	.014
Secondary— Technical Commercial Collegiate	921,082 576,377 1,342,723	758,571 510,001 1,244,469	.952 .596 1.388	.823 .554 1.350
Elementary— Public Separate	6,642,536 586,604	6,251,408 552,070	7.249 11.500	7.148 11.500
Total school expenditures	\$10,082,049	\$9,329,242	10.20* 14.45**	9.90* 14.25**
General— Telephone exchange Court of Revision City Relief Office. Special grants. Audit Department. Law Department. City Council. Unemployment Relief. Architect's Department. City Clerk's Department. Courts, inquests, etc Mothers' Allowance Com. Assessment Department. Treasury Department. Treasury Department. Public Libraries. Items at large. Hospitals and homes. Health Department. Property Department. Parks Department. Street Cleaning Dept. Police Department. Fire Department. Undistributed debt charge.	165,803 183,370 232,860 242,000 311,505 361,060 405,114 883,845 960,793 1,150,430 1,227,439 1,265,806 1,298,290 1,919,260 2,927,824 2,510,833	\$ 7,000 7,500 15,128 30,350 78,146 82,770 76,903 100,000 160,109 149,586 198,550 210,000 308,022 331,836 383,925 586,834 804,536 1,080,370 1,228,008 1,173,296 1,368,243 1,847,581 2,257,490 2,593,909 4,400,819	.009 .004 .021 .046 .117 .107 .116 .139 .186 .204 .260 .270 .347 .403 .452 .985 .1.071 .1.282 .1.368 .1.411 .1.447 .2.140 .3.264 .2.799 .4.652	.008 .009 .018 .036 .091 .097 .090 .117 .187 .175 .233 .246 .361 .388 .450 .688 .943 1.266 1.439 1.375 1.604 2.165 2.646 3.040 5.158
Total Departmental Exp	\$20,722,399	\$19,480,911	23.100	22.83
Deficits of Special Services Housing Abattoir Royal Winter Fair Island Ferry Can. National Ex. Radials. Harbour Com	40,171 87,568 50,000 70,890 350,000		.019 .045 .098 .056 .079 .390 .765	.016 .048 .084 .095 .120 .504 .857
Total Deficit of Spec. Serv	. \$1,302,384	\$1,475,443	1.452	1.72
Total General Expenditure.	. \$22,024,783	\$20,956,354	24.552	24.55
Total Expenditure	. \$32,106,832	\$30,285,596	34.75* or 39.00**	34.45* or 38.80**

<sup>\*</sup>Public School Supporters.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Separate School Supporters.

### COMMENT

- The mill rate for technical schools, commercial high schools, collegiates and public schools increased in 1929 over 1928.
- The mill rate for departmental expenditures increased in 1929 over 1928.
- 3. The mill rate for deficits on special services decreased in 1929 below 1928.
- 4. There were increases in the mill rate for the following services and departments:

City Relief Office, Special Grants, Audit Department, Law Department, City Council, City Clerk's Department, Courts, Inquests, etc., Mothers' Allowance Commission, Treasury Department, Hospitals and Homes, Health Department, Parks Department and Police Department.

- 5. There were decreases in the mill rate in the following:

  Court of Revision, Assessment Department, Works Department,

  Street Cleaning Department and the Fire Department.
- 6. There was also a noteworthy decrease in the mill rate for undistributed debt charges from 1.72 M. to 1.45 M.

These figures cannot fail to convey a clear impression of the great importance that the City of Toronto has reached as a centre where people work and live.

The most important question before the citizens of Toronto at the present time is "How can Toronto continue to grow and at the same time preserve or improve the conditions of work and the amenities of life."

Only long, consistent and intelligent planning can make this possible. Can Toronto afford even for a short time to be without an "Advisory City Planning Commission" with adequate powers of inquiry and study?