BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL RESEARCH

TORONTO'S CITIZENS CAN CONTROL TORONTO'S AFFAIRS ONLY THROUGH FREQUENT, PROMPT. ACCURATE AND PERTINENT INFORMATION WITH REGARD TO TORONTO'S BUSINESS.

137 WELLINGTON ST. W TELEPHONE EL 1904



TORONTO

White Paper No. 205

May 16, 1935

The 1935 Budget Estimates of Current Revenues, Debenture Funds Used for Current Purposes and Expenditure Chargeable to Revenues

of the

CITY OF TORONTO

Budget Story No. 1

The total estimated net revenue (not including educational credits or reserves for deferred expenditures) from which the 1935 current appropriations were made was

\$35,490,328.

In addition there is to be borrowed by debenture issues for direct unemployment relief

\$3,260,000.

In order to make the total current expenditure comparable with 1932 and previous years, it is necessary to deduct from the total of the above two amounts \$1,017,124 debt charges on direct unemployment relief debentures, as the policy of funding relief expenditures did not begin until 1933. This gives a total of

\$37,733,204

which represents the total of estimated net current expenditures and the total amount (revenue and direct relief debenture fund proceeds) available for net current appropriations in 1935.

Estimated Revenue, Estimated Proceeds from Relief Debentures for Revenue Purposes, Equivalent Mill Rates, etc.

(Based on Official Estimates for Each Year)

		Amount of I avv	f Lavo	Mill Rate	ate	Assessment Dasis	It Dasis
Of Months and a		Companie	-	-	1000	1028	1934
A.—TAXATION (Current) PURPOSE	PURPOSE	1935	1934	1935	1934	1900	61 076 062 674
1. Education in Schools—Total. Public School Supporters		\$10,892,729 10,122,980 769,749	\$10,614,615 9,839,737 774,878	10.50 P 15.00 S	10.10 P 14.95 S	\$1,015,409,940 964,093,329 51,316,611	974,231,352
2. General Purposes—Total Ceneral (Less Unemploymen \$1,017,124 for 1935 and Deficits. Special Services	parate School Supporters eral Purposes—Total General (Less Unemployment Relief Deb. Debt Charges \$1,017,124 for 1935 and \$320,266 for 1934). Deficits. Special Services	21,314,981 19,465,159 1,849,821 1,283,549 1,08,070	21,552,388 19,622,796 1,929,592 1,742,958 186,634	22.57	22.57	944,275,007	955,137,735
3. Total Taxation. Gross (Less Unemployme above).	Taxation Gross (Less Unemployment Relief Deb. Debt Charges above).	32,207,710	32,167,003	33.07 P* 37.57 S*	32.67 P† 37.52 S† .54	fincluding Unemployment I C., 33.00 M and 37.85 current tax rates for 1934	C., 33.00 M and 37.85 M, the current tax rates for 1934.
Less Reserve for Uncollec Net (Less Unemployment as above)	Less Reserve for Uncollectible Taxes, etc. Net (Less Unemployment Relief Deb. Debt Charges as above).	31,802,971	31,651,834	32.64 P 37.14 S	32.13 P 36.98 S	*Including Unemployment I C., 34.15 M and 38.65 current tax rates for 1935.	"Including Unemployment Deb. D. C., 34.15 M and 38.65 M, the current tax rates for 1935.
B.—TAXATION PASSED ON TO	ED ON TO SUCCEEDING	Amount	unt	Equivalent General A	Equivalent in Mills on General Assessment	The figures fo	The figures for 1934 are the same
YEARS		1935	1934	1935	1934	Paper No. 197	Paper No. 197, except for Net
Total		3,260,000	3,201,548	3.45	3.35	Unemployment used for estima	Unemployment Relief. The name used for estimated net unemploy-
	Estimated Proceeds from Relief Debentures for Revenue Purposes.	3,260,000	2,849,895	3.45	2.98	ment relief, \$2, included in th estimated curre	ment relief, \$2,000,000, while not included in the official total of estimated current expenditure, was
C.—REVENUE OTHER THAN	R THAN TAXATION	Amo	Amount	Equivalent General A	Equivalent in Mills on General Assessment	given in the intro official estimates.	official estimates. The actual
	acation	1935	1934	1935	1934	ing administration	ation charges of
Total Net Revenue Other than General Revenue.	her than Taxation.	2,670,233 1,858,650** 811,583	2,430,010 1,663,670 766,340	2.83	2.54 1.74 .80	\$351.653.	
Total Revenues, together Unemployment Relief Unemployment Relief D	otal Revenues, together with proceeds of sale of Unemployment Relief Debentures (less D.C. on Unemployment Relief Debentures for 1935).	37,733,204	37,283,392	38.92 P 43.42 S	38.02 P 42.87 S		
To reconcile with official estiment relief expenditures and Administrative Charges, unemployment relief Debo	To reconcile with official estimates subtract net unemployment relief expenditures so far as funded plus Relief Administrative Charges, and add Debt Charges on unemployment relief Debt	-3,260,000 +1,017,124	-3,201,548 + 320,266	_3.45 +1.08	+		D.C.—Debt Charges. P. Public School Supporters. S. Separate School Supporters. **Includes Deferred Expenditure Re
Revenue for Collection in 1935	n in 1935	\$35,490,328	\$34,402,110	36.55 P 41.05 S	35.00 P 39.85 S	serves withdrawn \$11,045.	n \$11,040.

CITY OF TORONTO

Estimated Expenditure and the Equivalent in Mills on the Assessment (Based on Official Estimates)

	Amount of Expenditures (Est.)		Equivalent in Mills		
ervice	1935	1934	1935	1934	1933
A. Education in Schools (Total).			10.50 P 15.00 S		10.30 P 14.85 S
1. Industrial	8,900	12,700	.009	.012	.013
2. Secondary	3,544,281	3,533,083	3.50	3.44	3.34
Technical	1,292,877	1,019,411	1.27	.99	1.20
Commercial	726,793	864,589	.72	1.61	.63 1.51
Collegiate	1,524,611	1,649,083	1.51	1.01	1.01
3. Elementary	7,338,144 6,748,003	7,070,244 6,474,184	7.00 P	6.65 P	6.94 P
Public	590,141	596,060	11,50 S		11.50 S
B. General Expenditures	\$24,992,058	\$24,737,773	26.467	25.899	24.336
Departmental		7 700	000	.008	.008
Telephone Exchange	7,295	7,762 4,792	.008	.005	.005
Court of Revision	4,811 71,481	64,900	.078	.068	.033
Special Grants	105,538	99,759	.112	.104	.103
Law Department	83,188	84,080	.088	.088	.086
City Council	108,250	114,845	.117	.120	.109
Dept. of Building	125,671	113,601 292,885	.133	.307*	.161
City Clerk's Dept	146,156 311,120	295,220	.330	.309	.341
Courts, Inquests, etc	000 004	275,944	.293	.289	.279
City Planning Dept		49,522	.056	.052	.050
Treasury Dept	339,085	328,940	.359	.344	.338
Public Libraries	463,945	462,091	.492	1.137	1.290
Items at Large		1,085,640 938,776	1.034	.983	.953
Health Dept.		1,242,257	1.298	1.301	1.305
Property Dept Parks Department		1,004,374	1.071	1.051	1.015
Works Dept	860,900	902,382	.919	.945	1.699
Street Cleaning Dept	1,002,008	1,660,825	1.761 2.417	1.739 2.406	2.322
Police Dept		2,297,949 2,572,430	2.714	2.693	2.590
Fire Dept	0 000 000	5,654,985			
Undistributed Debt Charges Less Direct Unemployment Relie	6,289,306	0,004,000			
Debenture D. C	1,017,124	320,266			
Net Undistributed D. C	5,272,182	5,334,719	5.584	5.585	5.451
Welfare Dept	6,105,079	5,504,080	6.465	5.762	4.670 2.214
Current Appropriations	2,845,079	2,302,532	3.013	2.411	8.214
To be met out of Proceeds of Sai	3,260,000	2,849,895	3.452	2.983	2.456
Relief Administrative Charges		351,653*	_	.368	
C. General Deficit	2424 282	\$186,634	.124	. 195	1.287
D. Deficits on Public Utilities an		\$1,742,958	1.697	1.825	1.961
Special Services		15,010	.009	.016	.013
Housing	05.544	37,393	.025	.039	.022
Island Ferry	10 000	45,000	.039		.051
Can. National Exhibition	. 208,043		.205		.158
Royal Winter Fair	84,264		.083		.228
Radials (In Liquidation) Harbour Board			1.121	1.200	1.399
Total Revenue Expenditure (Est.)			38.926	P 38.019 F	37.884
			40.420	S 42.869 S	14. 101
To reconcile with official estimate	es				
subtract net unemployment reli	et3,260,000	-2,849,895	-3.45	-2.98	-2.45
Bublidge net unempreyment		210101000			
expenditure	v e	351,653*	-	37	
expenditureand subtract Relief administrative		301,000			
expenditure and subtract Relief administrative charges and add debt charges on unemplo	y-	222 222	+1 07	+ 33	-
expenditure and subtract Relief administrative charges	y-	222 222	+1.07	+ .33	_
expenditure and subtract Relief administrative charges and add debt charges on unemplo	+1,017,124	+ 320,266		+ .33	35.43

^{*}Later paid out of current funds. † Included under Welfare Department above.

COMMENT

- 1. On the basis of the methods of financing direct unemployment relief in 1932 this year's budget is unbalanced by over \$2,240,000 in that it provided that \$1,371,662 be paid out on account of direct unemployment relief, while it is estimated that \$3,614,538 will be spent.
- 2. The city is now paying over \$1,000,000 in debt charges on unemployment relief charges. If, in previous years, other expenses had been cut sufficiently to make possible the payment of a considerable proportion of direct unemployment relief costs out of current revenues, these debt charges, and therefore the total payments for direct unemployment relief, would not have been so large.
- 3. It is, to say the least, doubtful whether or not we are better able in 1935 to carry a \$37,700,000 budget than we were in 1930 to carry a \$33,700,000 budget; and it is still doubtful whether or not in 1940 we will be in a better position to carry a \$41,700,000 current budget than we are now to carry a \$37,700,000 current budget. Nevertheless we seem to be well on our way to that goal.

QUERY

If current expenditures continue to grow, and if assessed values continue to decline or remain stationary, a still further increase in the tax-rate must result. What effect would such an increase have on—

- a. Assessed Values?
- b. Accumulated Tax Arrears?
- c. Equities of citizens in their homes and in real estate in general?
- d. The ability of local industry and business to compete with outside firms?
- e. The incomes of citizens?
- f. The cost of living?

The One-Year Term for Members of City Council

"In the past the (Toronto) Council resembled the English model more closely than at present. From 1834 to 1866 it was made up as in England of aldermen and common-councilmen sitting together..... The council's term of office has been usually one year; but between 1838 and 1849 it was two years, one-half of the aldermen retiring annually; from 1866 to 1873 three years, with a corresponding scheme of re-election."

S. MORLEY WICKETT in "University of Toronto Studies"

"An(other) American usage which has crossed the border is the practice of electing the whole City Council every year. In England councillors are elected for three years and one-third retire annually. These councillors, in turn, choose a certain number of aldermen who serve for six years. This enables a certain measure of continuity to be given to municipal policy. In the United States, on the other hand, the idea of short terms, as a means of ensuring popular accountability, gained nation-wide vogue half a century or more ago, and Canadian cities were unwise enough to fall in with the procession. Most of them still maintain the practice of electing councillors for a one-year term, although the plan of annual election was found to work badly in American cities and has now been abandoned by nearly all of them."

WILLIAM BENNET MUNRO in the Marfleet Lectures, 1929,