BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL RESEARCH

TORONTO'S CITIZENS CAN CONTROL TORONTO'S AFFAIRS ONLY THROUGH FREQUENT, PROMPT. ACCURATE AND PERTINENT INFORMATION WITH REGARD TO TORONTO'S BUSINESS.

137 WELLINGTON ST. W. TELEPHONE EL. 1904



TORONTO

White Paper No. 214

April 14th, 1936

The 1936 Budget Estimates of the Current Revenues, Debenture Funds Used for Current Purposes and Expenditure Chargeable to Revenues.

CITY OF TORONTO

Budget Story No. 1

For another year Toronto is to operate on an unbalanced budget. This time the amount of unbalance is equivalent to .992 of a mill on the Assessment.

The total estimated net current revenue (not including educational credits or reserves for deferred expenditure) available for appropriation was

\$36,412,862.31.

In addition it was proposed to borrow for current purposes (direct unemployment relief) the sum of

\$2,341,360.00

or a total of

\$38,754,222.31.

In order to make this comparable with 1932 and avoid duplication, there should be subtracted from this total debt charges on amounts borrowed for direct unemployment relief to the amount of

\$1,440,324.00.

This leaves a total of \$37,313,898.31 compared with the total of \$37,733,204 in 1935; \$37,283,392 in 1934; \$38,002,518 in 1933; \$36,726,864 in 1932 and \$32,106,832 in 1929.

CITY OF TORONTO

Estimated Expenditure and the Equivalent in Mills on the Assessment (Based on Official Estimates)

On Assessment (less Income Assessment (Est.) for 1935 and 1934)

6	Amount of Expenditure (Est.)		Equivalent in Mills		
Service	1936	1935	1936	1935	1934
A. Education in Schools (Total)	\$10,897,677	\$10,891,325		10.866 P 15.519 S	
1. Industrial	6,400‡	8,900	.007	.010	.014
2. Secondary		3,544,281	3.676	3.612	3.590
Technical		1,292,877	1.304	1.318	1.036
Commercial		726,793	.758	.741	.878 1.676
Collegiate		1,524,611 7,338,144	1.614	1.553	1.070
Public		6,748,003	7.203	7.244	6.928
Separate	592,881	590,141	11.890	11.897	11.986
B. General Expenditure	\$24,783,031	\$24,992,058	27.281	27.445	27.086
Departmental			000	000	000
Telephone Exchange		7,295	.008	.008	.009
Court of Revision		4,811	.006	.005	.005
Special Grants		71,481 105,538	.068	.116	.109
Audit DepartmentLaw Department		83,188	.101	.091	.092
City Council		108,250	.128	.119	.126
Department of Building		125,671	.139	.138	.124
City Clerk's Dept		146,156	.161	.160	.321
Courts, Inquests, etc	285,705	311,120	.314	.342	.323
Assessment Department		276,804	.295	.304	.302
City Planning Department		53,096	.058	.058	.054
Treasury Department		339,085	.373	.372	.360
Public Libraries		463,945	.511	.510	1.189
Items at Large		922,089 984,877	1.082	1.013	1.028
Health Department Property Department		1,225,345	1.335	1.346	1.360
Parks Department		1,011,324	1.080	1.111	1.100
Works Department		866,955	.975	.952	.988
Street Cleaning Department		1,662,568	1.833	1.826	1.819
Police Department	2,258,223	2,282,041	2.486	2.505	2.516
Fire Department	2,522,088	2,563,157	2.776	2.815	2.817
Undistributed Debt Charges		6,289,306			
Less Direct Unemployment Relief Debenture Debt Charges		1,017,124			
	and the second of the second of		5 747	E 700	5.841
Net Undistributed D. C Welfare Department		5,272,182 6,105,079	5.747 6.613	5.790 6.704	6.026
			4.036	3.124	2.521
To be met out of Proceeds of Sale		2,040,010	4.000	0.124	2.021
of Debentures	2,341,360	3,260,000	2.577	3.580	3.120
Relief Administrative Charges	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_	.385
C. General Deficit	_ **	126,272	_ **	. 139	. 204
D. Deficits on Public Utilities and			* ****		4 000
Special Services		\$1,723,549	1.798	1.893	1.908
Housing		9,025	.010	.010	.016
Abattoir		25,544	.018	.028	.041
Island Ferry	43,000 140,740	40,000 208,043	.047	.229	.212
Royal Winter Fair	80,766	84,264	.089	.092	.094
Radials (in liquidation)		218,379	.246	.240	.241
Harbour Board		1,138,294	1.233	1.250	1.255
Total Revenue Expenditure (Est.).		\$37,733,204***		40.343 P 44.996 S	
To reconcile with official estimates			11.002 5	¥¥.770 IS	12,700 B
subtract net unempl. Relief exp	-2,341,360	-3,260,000	-2,577	-3.580	-3.120
and subtract Relief adm. charges		_			385
and add debt charges on unemploy-					
ment relief debentures	+1,440,324	+1,017,124	+1,585	+1.117	+ .351
Current Expenditures to be charged to		205 400 000	00 000	07 0000	0.0 ****
the Current Year's Revenues	\$36,412,862	\$35,490,328	38.973P 43.660S	37.880P 42.533S	36.576F 41.6345
					TI. OUT
	_4 079 561	_2 670 224			_2 881
Less Rev. other than Civic Taxation .	-4,079,561 + 300,859	-2,670,234 + 404,739	-4.491	-2.932	-2.661 9158
Less Rev. other than Civic Taxation . Plus Reserve for Uncollectible Taxes General and School Levy (gross)		$-2,670,234 + 404,739 \\ 33,224,833$			

TAXATION OF MUNICIPALLY-OWNED PUBLIC UTILITIES.

During the consideration and debate on the Estimates, considerable was heard about the full taxation of municipally-owned utilities. In the opinion of the Bureau all such utilities should be taxed-except one, and that should be discontinued, as it is too expensive and too useless (if this is a proper adjective to apply to a utility) a luxury for the citizens of Toronto, all of whom directly or indirectly contribute to filling up the hole which it annually enlarges. If taxes are justified on any enterprises, it is only because public services are rendered to them and to their employees. If a utility changes hands, the same municipal services are rendered to the same undertaking and to the same people the day after as the day before the change and therefore, the same taxes should be paid by the utility after as before the change in ownership. Any industry which cannot carry its own charges, whether privately or publicly owned, is no asset or a doubtful asset to the community. An undertaking should carry not only its own direct costs for public services, but that share of the educational and other costs of its employees which their own resources do not carry. This element explains why a new industry is not "all velvet". One which does not fully pay its way to the community is certainly not "all velvet".

Under existing conditions, when a utility is partially or entirely freed from taxation, the tax-base is narrowed and the tax burden is distributed among those persons, industries and businesses remaining on the tax list. A citizen is still expected to buy his family's food, clothing, shelter, radio and motor car and pays as a consequence part of the taxes of the baker, tailor, house owner (in good times), and radio and motor distributor. Why should he be freed partially or wholly from the element of taxes in his bills for water service, electric light service, and transportation? The omitting of any element of cost in the statements of municipally-owned utilities, does not reduce the cost. It simply transfers it to other shoulders, just as failure to pay one's tailor does not reduce the cost of clothing but simply transfers it to the tailor.

Again, in recent times, large municipalities such as Toronto have made arrangements to supply water, power, light, etc., to other municipalities. In such cases, if some costs such as services should be paid for out of taxation are omitted, or if part of the cost is disguised by doubtful charges to municipal departments and

NOTES FOR PRECEEDING PAGE-

^{*}Later paid out of current funds. †Included under Welfare Department above. **Surplus. ‡In 1936 Industrial Schools are included in the Estimates for Public Welfare, and for purposes of comparison, the appropriation for Public Welfare is divided above. ***Corresponding Figures 1932, \$36,726,864 (rev.) ‡Including adjustments for change in assessment. The corresponding adjustments for the 3 years for Separate School supporters would be .231, .951, 1.123.

thus costs are made to appear lower than they really are, such contracts are liable to be set aside or the rates reduced to the ultimate disadvantage of the taxpayers of the supplying municipality and possibly to the creation of deficits in place of real or ostensible surpluses.

On April 6th the City Council decided not to submit to the electors a by-law providing for taxation of publicly owned utilities. Adoption of the policy is delayed, but the issue is not settled. In the meantime public discussion of the facts is in the public interest.

THE FINANCING OF DIRECT RELIEF COSTS.

The citizens and taxpayers of Toronto are to be congratulated on the inclusion in the Estimates of the amount of \$585,340 of the total estimated direct relief costs of 1936 over and above the administration and "unallowable" costs for the year. This is the first year since 1932, in which all costs of direct unemployment relief were borne out of current funds, that the civic estimates have included any part of the net direct unemployment relief distributed during the year concerned. In the introduction to the 1935 Estimates, it was recommended that in 1936, the Estimates should include one-fifth of the direct unemployment relief costs to be met out of current revenues, in 1937, two-fifths and so on until 1940, when 100% of the cost should be paid out of current revenues.

The new plan provides for a payment each year of a flat amount of \$600,000, plus administrative charges, etc. It is estimated that by 1942, or two years later than in the former plan, all the costs of direct unemployment relief will be met out of current revenues, costs after that year entirely ceasing. However, they may continue. That is, the former plan assured the taxpayers that borrowing for direct unemployment relief costs would definitely cease in 1940, while the new plan gives no such assurance.

Tax rates of 34.85 mills and 39.40 mills mean practically annual charges of $3\frac{1}{2}\%$ and 4% on the assessed value for taxation purposes of every home and other piece of property in Toronto.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING.

The Annual Meeting of the Bureau of Municipal Research will be held in the Board Room of the Bureau, Room 804, 137 Wellington Street West, at 4 p.m., April 28th, 1936. You are cordially invited to attend.