1926

TWELVE YEARS OF COMMUNITY SERVICE



1926
TORONTO BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL RESEARCH
21 KING STREET EAST
TORONTO

TWELVE YEARS

OF

COMMUNITY SERVICE

TRUSTEES

LIEUT.-COL. A. E. GOODERHAM
E. R. C. CLARKSON, F.C.A.
C. S. BLACKWELL

OFFICERS

WALTER J. BARR	President
G. H. MUNTZ	Vice-President
G. A. MACPHERSON	Vice-President
OLIVER HEZZELWOOD	Uon Trasquer
F. BARRY HAYES	Chairman of Council
	and Finance Committee

COUNCIL

C. H. CARLISLE
Dr. HAROLD CLARK
C. E. EDMONDS
WALTER J. BARR
MARK BREDIN
P. W. D. BRODRICK
JOHN FIRSTBROOK
S. B. GUNDY
W. H. HALL
F. B. HAYES
OLIVER HEZZELWOOD
NORMAN A. HOWIE
J. P. HYNES
G. A. MACPHERSON

FRANK MCEACHREN
G. TEMPLE MCMURRICH
G. H. MUNTZ
MORDEN NEILSON
ROBERT PARKER
THOMAS ROGERS
E. C. SCYTHES
R. A. STAPELLS
WILLIAM STONE
JOHN I. SUTCLIFFE
F. D. TOLCHARD
W. G. WATSON
CHARLES F. WHEATON
MELVILLE P. WHITE

GEORGE WILSON

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

WALTER J. BARR	
F. B. HAYES	
OLIVER HEZZELWOO	D
NORMAN A. HOWIE	
J. P. HYNES	
Dr. HAROLD CLARK	

G. A. MACPHERSON G. H. MUNTZ ROBERT PARKER WILLIAM STONE JOHN I. SUTCLIFFE GEORGE WILSON

Managing Director and Secretary.......HORACE L. BRITTAIN
Assistant Director......JOSEPH E. HOWES

INTRODUCTION

TORONTO, December 28th, 1926.

It is easy to catalogue the output of a factory and set a definite value on its product which is physical in nature and, therefore, susceptible of accurate objective measurement. A Bureau of Municipal Research, however, does not deal in the first instance with objective but subjective values and, therefore, the results of its work are harder to estimate and quite impossible to tabulate.

The Bureau does not attempt to list and evaluate its results, mainly for the following reasons:

- 1. The main purpose of the Bureau being to build up informed citizenship, the essential foundation of efficient government, a list of concrete achievements would give a very imperfect and misleading picture of the Bureau's services to the community, as the chief values produced by the Bureau's work do not lend themselves to cataloguing.
- 2. The Bureau, being an impersonal, non-partisan organization, which obtains its chief results through co-operation, any claiming of exclusive credit for any particular achievement would, by throwing doubt on the Bureau's disinterestedness, tend to defeat the Bureau's ends. The Bureau must make it clear that it is quite willing that the credit should go to others so long as results of value to the citizens are obtained. The Bureau is particularly anxious that responsible department heads should receive full credit for all improvements effected in their departments, as the permanent executive organization is the backbone of municipal government.
- 3. No worthwhile results are ever attained as the result of the unaided work of one agency, so that the most any agency can honestly claim is that it contributed to the extent of its resources to the attainment of the desirable ends it had in view.

At the same time, the supporters of a movement have a right to information as to the nature of its programme and the quality and quantity of its work. With this in mind, the following short review of the Bureau's history is presented in printed form along with a list of the Bureau's publications and a list of its financial supporters.

HORACE L. BRITTAIN, Managing Director. WALTER J. BARR, President.

TWELVE YEARS OF COMMUNITY SERVICE BY THE TORONTO BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL RESEARCH

THE FOUNDATION OF THE BUREAU

The Bureau began its career on March 1st, 1914. Previous to its inception, a committee of citizens, called the Civic Survey Committee, had, with the consent and co-operation of the City Council, completed an administrative survey of five important civic departments—the Treasury Department, the Works Department, the Assessment Department, the Property Department, and the Fire Department. The report of this survey made numerous recommendations of great importance, and it was deemed necessary, if these recommendations were to receive practical fulfilment, that a permanent organization should be formed to follow up the survey report. Hence the Toronto Bureau of Municipal Research, which from its foundation has pertinaciously urged the adoption of the recommendations, in many cases successfully, and has conducted a continuous survey from the outside upon which have been based practical suggestions to the City Council, the Board of Education, and the citizens themselves.

THE AIMS AND OBJECTS OF THE BUREAU

The primary aim of the Bureau is to develop informed active citizenship as the best means of attaining permanent improvements in municipal government. Experience elsewhere has shown that reform movements of the usual campaign character are generally only temporarily successful, and that they are frequently followed by serious relapses which may leave the community in a worse position than before. The securing of technical improvements in advance of public opinion by pressure brought on policyforming bodies, while more spectacular, frequently leads to little real advance and may indeed be nullified by the action of succeeding administrators. When, however, advances are brought about as the result of settled public opinion, the improvements are of solid value and are rarely upset by reactionary decisions.

It has sometimes been difficult for the Bureau to adhere to this policy, but the policy has been justified by the results. A predominantly educational programme is the only one which will stand the test of time.

ITS GENERAL METHODS

The general methods of the Bureau are:

To ascertain the facts as to municipal government in Toronto and elsewhere.

To analyze these facts.

To report the facts, with suggestions and recommendations, in clear, concise form.

PUBLICITY

Pamphlets:

The purpose of the Bureau being to reach the average citizen who cannot give much time to the study of any particular document, the Bureau has issued pamphlets, but has usually split up the results of its enquiries into small bulletins or four-page white papers. Among the pamphlets issued are the following:

Administrative study of the Toronto Department of Public Health, March 1st, 1915.

City Budget Facts, 1915, 1916, 1917, 1918, and 1919.

"Toronto Gives," a study of organized versus planned philanthropy, June, 1917.

"What will the 'Ward' do with Toronto?" A study of congested living conditions in a central district of Toronto, December, 1918.

A series of seven pamphlets on Educational Administration in Toronto, including such topics as educational waste, the building department, purchasing, stores, and accounting, May, 1920, to February, 1921.

The administrative survey of the Department of Public Health was made in fullest co-operation with the Department. A study several years later (unpublished), made at the suggestion of the Department, indicated that great improvements had been effected. The accounting and budget procedure had been thoroughly reconstructed.

The pamphlet "Toronto Gives" received wide distribution in Toronto and throughout Canada and the United States, and was primarily instrumental in setting in train those forces which ultimately led to the foundation of the Toronto "Federation for Community Service," which has done so much not only to protect donors and assure them of the efficient expenditure of their gifts, but to put welfare work in Toronto on a sound basis.

The ward survey attracted considerable attention and no doubt has led indirectly to worthwhile results.

The Bureau's annual analyses of the budget were continued in pamphlet form until it was felt that the improvement in the form of the official estimates was so great as to give assurance that eventually there would be thorough re-organization of budgetary control. Since then the Bureau has issued the analyzed material in white paper form, emphasizing particular steps in advance.

Bulletins and White Papers:

During the twelve years in which these have been issued, practically every phase of the City's administration has been touched upon. In the appendix is given a list of all the Bureau's publications to date. Except for the last two years, most of these are now out of print owing to the large demand for extra copies from citizens interested in various phases of the City's work. Many bulletins, particularly those dealing with the form of city government, have been asked for in great numbers by members of citizen organizations engaging in public debate on municipal questions. No part of the Bureau's programme has been of more fundamental importance from the standpoint of building up informed public opinion. With but few exceptions, the Bulletins and White Papers have been reproduced in whole or in part by the press, so that the facts have been disseminated among all the 100,000 homes in Toronto. The wholesome effect on the City's life of this educational work can hardly be overestimated.

Open Letters:

Very sparing use has been made of this method of reaching the public. Only when immediate action on some point of vital importance was necessary has the Open Letter been resorted to. It has taken two forms: Letters to the Mayor, Board of Control and Council, or Civic Boards or Commissions, and letters to the citizens in general. In every case the letters have been reproduced in the press and in several have been made the subject of editorial comment. Most of these letters protested against the threatened abandonment of the policy of giving contracts to the lowest bidder when qualified to do the work required, the introduction of patronage into civic appointments, or the weakening of Toronto's reputation for fair dealing. In some cases the results of the Bureau's action and of similar action of other bodies and individuals have been almost immediate. In others the effect has been to modify future action. The salutary effect of these letters cannot be questioned, even when they failed to attain the immediate object in view.

Surveys:

In addition to the departmental surveys, which marked the inception of the work, the Bureau has conducted surveys of the Street Cleaning Department, the recreational facilities of the City, the Department of Public Health (2), and the Board of Education, and has made innumerable smaller studies of various activities. The first two of these were not published. The surveys of the Health Department are referred to on page 10 of this pamphlet. The school survey followed the publication of a series of Bulletins and White Papers on school affairs, and the most extensive survey undertaken by the Bureau to date. The Bureau made an extensive inquiry into the question of educational waste in the public schools with special reference to over-age, retardation, promotion and grading. It is interesting to note that the reports of the Board of Education, which previous to 1913 made no or but little reference to these

important topics, now gives them a prominent place in every report, indicating that the system as a whole is grappling with the problems of educational waste in a much more sustained and co-ordinated way than ever before. Several interesting experiments in grading and promotion have followed the awakening of a definite interest in the conservation of child effort. While perhaps the Board does not as yet give as much attention to accounting for children as to accounting for dollars, very noteworthy progress has been made along this line.

The Bureau also made a detailed examination of the physical plant and an administrative survey of the building department. This phase of the inquiry was about contemporaneous with the appointment of a Business Administrator by the Board. The report based thereon was one of the first submitted by the Bureau to that official whose reorganization of the work had begun before the inquiry was completed.

The final report issued by the Bureau on its School Survey was in three sections: Purchasing, Stores and Accounting. In accounting, particularly, and in the budgetary control based on accounting, the Board has effected tremendous improvements. In 1914 the annual report contained no true operating account and no balance sheet. Now it contains both in modern form. In addition the Board publishes annually a detailed financial and statistical report which in most respects could serve as a model for all urban Boards of Education, and must be a valuable instrument of administrative control for the Board.

As an example of what the Bureau believes should be done for every elementary and secondary school, as one element in building up school individualities, short biographies of two schools, the York and the Park Schools, were prepared and published. These biographies were necessarily sketchy and were intended only to stimulate interest in the history of our schools. At some time in the future the absence of fairly complete authentic historical records of the individual schools will be recognized as a distinct loss.

At the request of the Board of Governors of the Toronto General Hospital, the Bureau made an Administrative Survey of the Hospital in 1917, and in order to assist in giving the recommendations effect and facilitate reorganization, the Director of the Bureau was loaned to the Hospital as superintendent for over eighteen months.

The Speakers' Bureau:

Co-operation with citizen organizations and the general public by supplying speakers on civic subjects has been a marked feature of the service of the Toronto Bureau of Municipal Research from the first. Hundreds of addresses by members of the Bureau's staff have been made to Toronto audiences during the past twelve years. Among the organizations with which the Bureau co-operated in this way were Ratepayers' Associations, Business Men's Associations, the Rotary, Kiwanis, Lions and

other service clubs, the Board of Trade, Home and School Leagues, Voters' Leagues, various debating clubs, etc., etc. Among the subjects treated were: Budget Control, City Planning, Commission Government, Council-Manager Government, Citizen Control of the Citizens' Business, the Tax Rate, Administrative Co-ordination, Wider Use of School Plant, Centralized Purchasing, Getting out the Vote, Hospital Administration from the Community Standpoint, etc., etc.

The Reference Library:

This Library is made up for the most part of official reports and documents and reports of civic surveys and studies. There is a small section made up of works on municipal and governmental administration, but this is kept at a minimum in order to avoid duplication with the public libraries. The library becomes more valuable from year to year, not only on account of increasing scope, but because of the additional facilities it provides in preparing comparative statements going back a term of years. The Bureau's work would be impossible without the library. The material on the shelves is available also to all members of the Bureau and all students of municipal subjects. An increasing number of citizens are availing themselves of the service. This has been particularly marked since coming to our present office.

PROGRESS ON THE BUREAU'S PROGRAMME

Finance:

The first and most fundamental recommendation made by the Bureau following the civic survey was:

"That steps be taken to establish responsible leadership for financial proposals."

It was evident from the first that this could not be secured unless one permanent official were made, in fact as well as theory, the responsible financial advisor of the Board of Control and Council and the unquestioned executive head of the City's financial administration. The Bureau, therefore, recommended that a Commissioner of Finance be appointed with clearly defined duties and with the necessary authority to carry out these duties. This was done, and the City has had the services of a Finance Commissioner ever since. No one who was familiar with conditions before the appointment of a Commissioner can doubt that millions have been saved to the taxpayers. In view of the conditions brought about by the war and the accumulation of millions of unsold but hypothecated debentures at the time of the appointment, it is extremely doubtful if the City would have been in a position to finance the taking over of the Street Railway and other undertakings involving great capital expenditures under the previous "laissez-faire" policy. This appointment was fundamental, as few of the other recommendations could have been carried out without such leadership.

While by no means all of the recommendations of the Report of the Civic Survey and of the Bureau of Municipal Research have been carried out, no one can compare the financial documents now issued by the City—such as the annual estimates and the annual financial report—without being absolutely convinced that rapid and substantial progress has been made in accounting and budgetary control. The savings effected are cumulative. They are not confined to the years in which the improvements were made, but affect all succeeding years.

Previous to 1914 the City was faced with annual deficits on current account. Since that date deficits have not been a factor in striking the annual tax rate. Formerly large sums of money were paid out in interest on money borrowed in advance of taxation, but recently this item has disappeared from the estimates. Formerly the City was sometimes operated for about five months each year without a budget plan, but now the estimates are passed before three months of the year have expired. While undoubtedly the departmental estimates should and could be in by October or November for the tentative consideration of the outgoing Council so that the Budget could be passed in January by the new Council, yet the advance made to date has been thoroughly worthwhile and provides a much more effective means of controlling expenditure throughout the year. As late as 1914 assessment rolls were outstanding for four years, so that with the flat penalty then provided taxpayers were in effect borrowing from the City a total of almost a million and three-quarters at rates varying between 11/2% and 5%. Now the outstanding tax rolls are reduced to a minimum, the penalties for non-payment have been made more stringent, and the City is not lending to taxpayers at a lower rate than it pays for the use of money. The outstanding arrears of taxes are still large, but the Treasury Department has succeeded in decreasing the arrears since 1923 from nearly \$6,800,000 to about \$5,700,000, and will undoubtedly succeed in reducing them to a still lower total with a continuance of present methods, strengthened where necessary.

The sinking fund administration has been so efficient that not only has it always produced sufficient funds to retire bonds at maturity, but its earnings have been such as to enable the buying and retirement of City bonds before maturity, with resulting benefit to the tax rate and the City's debt position. Previous to 1914 the method of selling the City's bonds was, as pointed out by Bureau Bulletins, extremely unbusinesslike. Now the method meets with the approval of those doing business with the City and of the public. Formerly most bonds issued were of the sinking fund variety, but the prevailing practice now is to issue bonds on the instalment basis. The per capita debt charges provided for in the estimates out of current taxation and incidental revenues were about \$1.12 less in 1926 than in 1925; \$1.12 less than in 1924, and \$1.02 less than in 1923.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPROVEMENTS

Health:

When the Bureau began its work in 1914 the City had in effect two Departments of Public Health—the Civic Department of Public Health and the Department of Medical Inspection of the Board of Education. The Separate schools had no facilities for medical inspection. Early in 1916 the Bureau began to advocate specifically the co-ordination of all public health work paid for by the civic taxpayers. Other organizations adopted a similar policy, and in 1917, after popular vote, the two services were combined under the Department of Public Health, which not only took over the health service of the Board of Education, but instituted medical inspection in the Separate schools. While serious friction was prophesied at the time, this has not developed, and great administrative progress has been made. The province has since adopted the principle by transferring the work of medical inspection from the Provincial Department of Education to the Provincial Board of Health.

Welfare:

In 1914 the official welfare work of the City was carried on by several administrative units. In 1917 the Bureau recommended the combining of all such work in a Welfare Branch under the Medical Officer of Health as an integral part of a Department of Public Health and Welfare. While such a department was not officially set up, the recommendation was given practical effect by the City Council establishing a welfare branch and putting it under the administration of the Medical Officer of Health. Thus the closely related functions of Health and Welfare administration are thoroughly co-ordinated. For reasons which could not have been connected with the needs of efficient administration, the Relief Officer of the City was not made a part of this organization, as undoubtedly should have been done, but no doubt this step will follow naturally in the course of time.

Private Philanthropy:

To secure the best results from public and private welfare work each needs to be well organized. Private welfare work in 1914 was entirely uncentralized. There was no cooperation in raising funds and only incidental cooperation in prosecuting the work. The result was great financial loss, but even greater loss in failure to develop adequate standards of welfare work, to cooperate in applying these standards to actual needs and to cooperate with the public authorities so as to make public and private welfare funds cover the whole field to the best advantage. In 1917 the Bureau issued its pamphlet above referred to, "Toronto Gives," and followed this up by sustained bulletin publicity. In 1919 the Toronto Federation for Community Service was organized. Those interested in its formation could not have foreseen the outstanding results in improved service and decreased cost in money and effort per unit of work done.

The improvement of methods and organization, the increase of coordination, and elimination of overlapping, the closing down of unnecessary work, the amalgamation of related organizations, the initiation of new but needed work, and the preservation of established organizations during the war and post-war periods are achievements which could hardly have been attained without the Federation.

General Results:

In addition to these and other positive improvements in city government and community affairs advocated by the Bureau, the Bureau has undoubtedly been of value in helping to prevent the taking of steps which might be prejudicial to the best interests of the citizens. The very presence in a city of an independent agency for constructive criticism tends to secure a more thorough consideration of proposals made. This in the last analysis is more important than the securing of any particular improvement or the negativing of any undesirable proposal, as most mistakes in policy and administration arise from insufficient knowledge of the salient facts.

A programme of inquiry and persistent publicity over a period of twelve years is bound to promote effective public opinion. Anything which helps people to think in impersonal terms about public affairs is bound in the end to produce lasting and worthwhile results.

The Future Programme:

There is always the tendency to become weary in well doing, but the Bureau is convinced that the only way to consolidate the results attained as a basis for new achievements is to pursue its activities with unabated zeal. There is no standing still in public affairs. There is always either retrogression or progress.

Among the matters of urgent importance to the citizens and taxpayers which the Bureau will continue to study and report upon are:

- 1. The planning of civic improvements on the basis of community needs. This involves the appointment of an advisory town-planning committee, the drawing up of a city-wide key-plan of proposed improvements, the zoning of the city according to the use made of buildings, and the abolition of the ward system or the lessening of its weight in the City Council.
- 2. The budgeting of capital expenditures out of borrowed funds ten years in advance, subject to annual revision by the City Council in order that the City may really know where it is going in debt increases. This involves a thorough study by a special committee of all the proposals now in the air involving capital expenditures, in order to determine the order in which improvements shall be considered, their relative importance and whether any, and if so which, should be eliminated from the programme.

- 3. A thorough study of the forthcoming report of the Toronto Civic Survey Commission on the civic establishment to the end that equal pay shall be given for equal work; that standards of appointment, promotion and demotion may be established; that outstanding service may be rewarded; that the esprit de corps of the various staffs may be built up, and that the taxpayers may be assured, so far as possible, of full value in service from the City's huge annual salary and wage bill.
- 4. A simplification of the policy-forming bodies, i.e., the City Council and Board of Education. This involves a drastic reduction in the number of members of these bodies, their election for periods of longer than one year for overlapping terms, and the abolition of a complicated standing committee system.
- 5. A simplification of the administrative machinery of the City. This involves a reduction through co-ordination in the number of civic departments, the centralizing of the great operating and spending departments which render services directly to the citizens under a single commission, or, if found necessary, or advisable, the centralization of all executive departments under a city manager appointed by and directly responsible to Council.
- 6. The further centralization of price getting and purchasing, not only for the regular civic departments, but for the City and all "outside" Boards and Commissions in charge of services rendered to the citizens and at their expense.
- 7. The further standardization of the civic estimates and budget procedure so as to show for all departments, among other things, cost of salaries and wages, supplies and other elements of expense for all work done and functions performed, with comparative figures for preceding years and reasons for increases and decreases. Until this is done there cannot be adequate control of current expenditures.

CONCLUSION

Anyone interested may obtain detailed information by calling at or applying to the office. A list of all the publications of the Bureau is contained in the Appendix. Copies of any bulletins, white papers or pamphlets which are still in print may be obtained on application.

Bulletins Issued by The Bureau of Municipal Research Toronto.

No.	Title.	Date	of I	ssue.
1.	What Citizen Co-operation Through a B.M.R. Has Done Elsewhere, It Can Do in Toronto	March	6th	, 1914
2.	Do You Care How the Other Fellow is Housed?	**	13th	, 1914
3.	An Ounce of Prevention is Worth a Pound of Cure (Fire Prevention)	"	18th	, 1914
4.	What is Everybody's Business is Nobody's Business	**	21st	, 1914
5.	Is It Enough to Believe That an Authorization to Incur Indebtedness is Justified, or Should We as Citizens Find Out and Know Why It Is Justified?	"	25th	, 1914
6.	Can We Afford to Waste Time in Complaining of City Officials When There is so Much We Can Do Now?	"	27th	, 1914
7.	Is the Solution of the Housing Problem a Civic Duty?	April	1st	, 1914
8.	Toronto's Fire Loss in the Month of March, 1914, Was \$492,666.00 and Five Lives	"	2nd	l, 1914
9.	A Citizens' Programme for Toronto		3rd	1, 1914
10.	Toronto Spends 33% of Her Taxes or 22% of Her Total Revenues on Education in Schools		6th	, 1914
11.	Steps in the Line of Progress	"	7th	, 1914
12.	A Dollar Saved is a Dollar Earned	"	8th	, 1914
13.	The Proportion of the City's Income Which Goes to Debt Charges	"	18th	, 1914
14.	Report of the Civic Survey-Civil Service	"	20th	, 1914
15.	Civic Housecleaning (Clean-up Day, May 1st)	"	28th	, 1914
16.	Unpaid Taxes for 1911, 1912 and 1913	May	7th	, 1914
17.	Bond Story Number One	"	11th	, 1914
18.	Help-Your-City Suggestion Box	"	18th	, 1914
19.	Fire Inspection (Woodbine Hotel Fire)	June	10th	, 1914
20.	Civil Service	"		, 1914
21.	Bond Story Number Two	July		, 1914
22.	Bond Story Number Three		10th	, 1914
23. 24. 25.	Making One Hundred Cents Do a Dollar's Worth of Work (Stories No. 1, No. 2 and No. 3)	"	23rd	, 1914
26.	Simply Because We Are at War, We Must Not Stop Thinking About Our Pressing Local Problems (Unemployment Story No. 1)	Aug.	18th	, 1914
27.	The Dominion, Provincial and City Government Are Being Called Upon Not to Curtail Public Work (Unemployment Story No. 2)	"	21st	., 1914
28.	Bringing Supply and Demand Together (Unemployment Story No. 3)	"	27th	, 1914
29.	Unemployment Story No. 4	Sept.		, 1914
30.	Getting Down to Brass Tacks	"	23 rd	l, 1914
31.	What Reorganization Has Been Effected in the Toronto Fire Deprtment Since October 31st, 1913	"	26th	, 1914
32.	Nero Fiddled While Rome Burned. Who's Fiddling Now in Toronto?	Oct.		, 1914
33.	The Municipal Improvement Association as Well as the B.M.R. Evidently Intends to Mind Its Own Business	Oct.		l, 1914

No.	Title.	Date	of Issue.
34.	Lack of Uniformity From City to City in the Classi- fication of Accounts and Methods of Reporting Financial Facts, Largely Destroys the Value of Comparison in Civic Efficiency	Nov.	18th, 1914
35.	Unemployment Story No. 5	Dec.	10th, 1914
36.	A New Year's Gift to the City of Toronto	Jan.	4th, 1915
37.	Unemployment Relief, Fire Dept. Reorganization, Accounting and Budget Reform, Are Knocking at the Doors of the Toronto City Administration, Demanding Attention Forthwith	"	29th, 1915
38.	City Auditing-Story No. 1	Feb.	8th, 1915
39.	City Auditing—Story No. 2	**	15th, 1915
40.	City Auditing—Story No. 3	**	19th, 1915
41.	The Qualifications of a Fire Department Head	March	6th, 1915
42.	When is a Tax Rate Not a Tax Rate	**	17th, 1915
43.	Is Toronto About to Order Municipal Mobilization	Oct.	18th, 1915
44.	Are You An Average Citizen Is Your Family an Average Family? If So, It Takes You 41 Days to "Work Out" Your Taxes, etc	Dec.	20th, 1915
45.	Toronto's Hospital Grants and Other So-called Charitable Expenditures	May	1st, 1916
46.	School Accounts	"	23rd, 1916
47.	If Accounts Are Worth Keeping, They Are Worth Keeping Well	"	31st, 1916
48.	Controlling the Uncontrollable	June	9th, 1916
49.	Every Little Bond Issue Has Debt Charges of Its Own	"	20th, 1916
50.	In Order to Get the People's Work Done, City Governments Must Spend Other People's Money	Oct.	14th, 1916
51.	Why Does It Take So Much Talk to Get the City's Business Done or to Keep It From Being Done?	"	24th, 1916
52.	There is No One in Toronto Who Does Not Pay Taxes, Directly or Indirectly	Nov.	2nd, 1916
53.	The Biggest Word in Canadian Financing During the Next Decade Should Be "Thrift"	"	16th, 1916
54.	It is Worth While Living in Toronto or Most of Us Wouldn't Be Living Here	Dec.	4th, 1910
55.	The Estimates of the City of Toronto For 1916 Provided For an Expenditure on Current Account of \$17,168,128.65	Tan	2041- 1011
56.		Jan. April	26th, 1917
5 7.		Sept.	5th, 191'
58.		"	19th, 191
59.		"	26th, 191
60.		Oct.	3rd, 191
61		"	4th, 191
62			4111, 191
63	penditure of \$19,242,588.28	Dec.	3rd, 191
00	For Current Purposes?	Jan.	17th, 191
64	. Help-Your-City Suggestion Box	"	29th, 191
65	. There Are Four Certain Ways of Getting a Municipality Into Financial Difficulties	March	
66	. High Taxation is Hard Enough to Bear (Inequitable Taxation is Harder to Bear)	June	11th, 191
67	. Four Test Questions As to the Desirability of Any Mode of Taxation	"	18th, 191

No.	Title.	Date	of Issue.
68.	Is the Income Tax, As We Know It in Toronto, Equitable and Fairly Certain of Collection?	June	25th, 191
69.	The Possibilities For Good of the Federation For Community Service	Nov.	7th, 191
70.	The Assessment Question and "Public Opinion" in Toronto		16th, 191
71.	"What is 'The Ward' Going to Do With Toronto"?	Dec.	10th, 191
72.	For Over Four Years Our Eyes Have Been Focused on the European Front—And Quite Properly (For Years to Come They Must Be Focused on the Home Front)	<i>u</i>	27th, 191
73.	Help-Your-City Suggestion Box	March	21st, 191
74.	Did You Vote in the Last Municipal Election? (Effective Voting—Story No. 1)	May	20th, 191
75.	Helping Citizens to Grow (Education in Citizenship—Story No. 1)	"	22nd, 191
76.	Voting From the Citizens' Point of View (Effective Voting—Story No. 2)	June	27th, 191
77.	Voters' Leagues in Other Cities (Effective Voting—Story No. 3)	July	11th, 191
78.	The Making of Citizens (Education in Citizenship—Story No. 2)	a a	31st, 191
79.	The Hare System of Proportional Representation	Aug.	19th, 191
80.	Commission Government (Forms of City Government —Story No. 1)	Sept.	19th, 191
81.	Commission-Manager or City-Manager Government (Forms of City Government—Story No. 2)	"	29th, 191
82.	Mayor-Council-Board-of-Control Government (Forms of City Government—Story No. 3)	Nov.	7th, 191
83.	Who Is to Be the Next Commissioner of Finance?	May	13th, 192
84.	Will 1921 Be a New Year in Civic Administration, or Will It Be the Same Old Year With a New Number?	Jan.	7th, 192
85.	Economy During 1921	"	24th, 192
86.	The City of Toronto As An Employer (City Budget—Story No. 1)	May	31st, 1921
87.	The City of Toronto As a Car Owner (City Budget—Story No. 2)	June	17th, 1921
88.	\$22,723,686, or \$43.28 Per Capita, Is the Amount To- ronto Citizens Are Expected to Pay in Taxes For City Services in 1921 (City Budget—Story No. 3)	"	24th, 1921
39.	The City As School Master (City Budget Story No. 4)		a contract -
00.	The City As a Park and Playground Manager (City Budget—Story No. 5)	Aug.	16th, 1921 23rd, 1921
1.	Is It Too Early to Think About Toronto's Capital and Current Expenditures For 1922?	Oct.	18th, 1921
2.	Control of Civic Expenditure Through Civic Elections	Dec.	
3.	Help-Your-City Suggestion Box	Jan.	16th, 1921 12th, 1922

White Papers Issued by The Bureau of Municipal Research Toronto.

Vo.	Title.	Date	of Is	sue.
1.	Government By Deficit	April	12th,	191
2.	Burning Up Canada	"	15th,	191
3.	One Object Lesson in Efficiency	11	30th,	191
4.	Are All Children Alike?	May	28th,	191
5.	Some Facts About Our Schools in 1913 (School Story No. 1)	Sept.	23rd,	191
6.	Some Facts About Our Schools in 1914 (School Story No. 2)	Nov.	25th,	191
7.	Public Education At Present is Costing the Citizens of Toronto Annually \$3,000,000 (School Story No. 3)	Dec.	14th,	191
8.	Do You Realize That Citizens of Toronto Pay Taxes to Support Two Departments of Health? (School Story No. 4)	Jan.	4th,	191
9.	During 1914 the Toronto Board of Education Expended on Maintenance Account for Collegiates and High Schools \$324,060.40 (School Story No. 5)	"	24th,	191
10.	Waste Goes on Everywhere. It Is Natural. But It Can Be Checked (School Story No. 6)	Feb.	10th,	191
11.	One Way in Which Toronto Is Preparing to Grapple With After-the-War Problems (School Story No. 7)	March	10th,	191
12.	The Problem of the "Feeble-Minded"	**	25th,	19
13.	Official Recommendations and Suggestions and Statements Which Might Be the Basis For Recommendations (School Story No. 8)	Dec.	26th,	19
14.	Facts and Suggestions As to Health Conditions in Our Schools (School Story No. 9)	**	29th,	19
15.	Boys and Girls in the Mass May Not Be Interesting to Some Unfortunate People (School Story No. 10)	Feb.	19th,	19
16.	What Happens to Our Boys and Girls Is More Important Than What Happens to Our Dollars (School Story No. 11)	"	23rd,	19
17.	The Two Greatest Problems in the Administration of Philanthropy ("Toronto Gives"—Story No. 5)	Oct.	17th	, 19
18.	Federation of Philanthropies Is No New Idea For Toronto	Dec.	26th	19
19.	Preparation of Its Citizens For Living and the Con- servation of Their Health	Feb.	28th	, 19
20.	The Provincial Assessment Act (Story No. 1)	Sept.	26th	, 19
21.	The Provincial Assessment Act (Story No. 2)	Oct.	9th	, 19
22.	The Provincial Assessment Act (Story No. 3)	**	24th	, 19
23.	The Tax Rate and Its Cousins	Dec.	12th	, 19
24.	Toronto's Business Is the Citizens' Business For 365 Days in the Year	Jan.	28th	, 19
25.	Fire Department Organization	Feb.	26th	, 19
26.	The Civic Car Lines	May	14th	, 1
27.	The Toronto Housing Commission	"	14th	2
28.	The Roception Hospital	June	5th	
29.	Street Railway Operation (Service-at-Cost Plan)	June	21st	
30.	The Parks Department	Aug.	12th	
00.	The Department of Public Health	Oct.	6th	

No.	Title.	Date	of I	ssue.
32.	The Police Department	Nov.	19th	, 1919
33.	Some Gains in Toronto's Financial Administration	_		
34.	1011-1310	Dec.		, 1919
35.	The Personnel of Own Clair Control of the Personnel of Own Clair Control of the Personnel of Own Clair Control of the Personnel of the Personnel of Own Clair Control of the Personnel of the Per	Feb.		, 1920
36.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government, 1920			, 1920
37.	Municipal Stadiums The City Budget Estimates (Cutting According to Plan Versus Slashing at Random)	"		, 1920 , 1920
38.	Toronto's Budget Estimates	March		, 1920
39.	Community Service and Our Public Hospitals	April		, 1920
40.	Toronto's Children Are Her Greatest Asset	May		, 1920
41.	Would Motor Buses Solve or Help to Solve Toronto's Transportation Problems?	July		, 1920
42.	Motor Accidents	Nov.		1920
43.	A Twelve-Hour Working Day For School Buildings 300 Days in the Year (Story No. 1)	Jan.		, 1921
44.	How Should Toronto's Civic Welfare Work Be Organized?	Feb.		1921
45.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government, 1921	reb.		1921
46.	A Twelve-Hour Working Day For School Buildings 300 Days in the Year (Story No. 2)	March		1921
47.	A Twelve-Hour Working Day for School Buildings 300 Days in the Year—The Home and School Movement (Story No. 3)	April		
48.	Is Toronto's Public Hospital Accommodation Sufficient For Toronto's Needs?			1921
49.		Oct.		1921
50.	The City of Toronto as a Buyer The Toronto Bureau of Municipal Research	Nov. Dec.		1921 1921
51.	If the Bureau of Municipal Research were to assert that on a certain date \$10,000 disappeared from the funds of the City of Toronto, considerable interest would be stirred up and many would want to know who was responsible and what steps were being taken to prevent a recurrence. But	Jan.	31st,	1922
52.	Board of Education Estimates for 1921	Feb.	14th,	1922
53.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government	Feb.	15th,	1922
54.	Municipal Taxation and Assessment	March	24th,	1922
55.	Toronto's Civic Budget for 1922 (Story No. 1)	May	2nd,	1922
56.	Toronto's Civic Budget for 1922 (Story No. 2)	June	2nd,	1922
57.	Toronto's Civic Budget for 1922 (Story No. 3	July	3rd,	1922
58.	Manana, Zaftra, To-morrow—The Day After To-day, Any Day But This, Never	July	24th,	1922
59.	Has the Amount of Municipal Taxation Anything to Do With the Industrial Standing of a City?	Sept.	15th,	1922
60.	The Toronto Civic Budget for 1922	Oct.	16th,	1922
61.	The Toronto Civic Budget for 1922 (continued)	Nov.	15th,	1922
62.	Three Questions Will be Answered by the Citizens of Toronto on January 1st, 1923	Dec.	20th,	1922
63.	The Tax Rate Does Not Measure the Burden of Taxation	Jan.	29th,	1923
64.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government	Feb.	15th,	1923
65.	When is a Debt Not a Debt? When It Is Paid	March	15th,	1923
66.	Growth of Expenditure Faster Than the Growth of Population	May	15th,	1923
67.	The New Union Station, the Viaduct, the Harbour Development and the Customs House, Letter No. 1	June	15th,	1923
68.	The New Union Station, the Viaduct, the Harbour Development and the Customs House, Letter No. 2	July	15th,	1923

No.	Title.	Date	of I	ssue.
			4.5	4000
69.	The City as an Employer	Aug.		1, 1923
70.	Score at End of First Ten-year Period 0-0(A Cartoon on the Viaduct Situation)	Oct.		1, 1923
71.	The Modified Single Tax Proposal	Nov.		1, 1923
72.	Civic Election Issues	Dec.		1, 1923
73.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government	Jan.	28th	1, 1924
74.	Reducing the Per Capita General Taxation	March	8th	1, 1924
75.	The New Union Station, the Viaduct, the Harbour and the Customs House	March	22nd	1, 1924
76.	The Taxpayers of Toronto Are to Spend on Regular Services During 1924 \$28,268,145.07	May	29tl	ı, 1924
77.	Copy of Open Letter to Mayor, Board of Control and City Council re Proposed Pension Fund	July	11th	1, 1924
78.	Open Letter to Citizens and Ratepayers re Cen- tralized Purchasing and Survey of Organization and Personnel of Civic Service	Sept.	9t1	n, 1924
79.	Open Letter to Citizens of Toronto re Cumbersome Municipal Organizations and Survey of the Civic			1004
80.	Service Open Letter to Citizens and Taxpayers re Proposal that the City go into the Gasoline Business and other Trading Activities	Oct.		1, 1924
81.	Who Are to Decide Upon and Control 1925 Expendi-	Oct.		t, 1924
82.	The Questions Before the Electorate on January 1st,	Dec.		h, 1924
	1925	Dec.		h, 1924
83.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government, 1925	Jan.		t, 1925
84.	Some Causes of Municipal Ill-Health	Feb.	10tl	h, 1925
85.	Cities Differ Materially in the Extent, Thoroughness and Administrative Grouping of the Functions Performed and Services Rendered to Their Cities	Feb.	20t3	h, 1925
86.	The Proposed Gasoline Tax as it Would Affect	March	20t)	h, 1925
87.	Open Letter re the Danger of Patronage in the Civic			
	Service	April	11tl	h, 1920
88.	The City's Credit	May	21s	t, 1928
89.	Second Open Letter re the Danger of Patronage in		-	
40.0	the Civic Service	June	23 r	d, 1925
90.	The City of Toronto Will Have Spent Out of Current Account in the Year 1925, \$28,074,823.20	Sept.	15t	h, 1925
91.	The Council-Manager Form of Municipal Government, Story No. 1	Oct.	30t	h, 192
92.	If You Have Votes, Prepare to Use Them Now	Nov.	5t1	h, 1925
93.	The Council-Manager Plan of Municipal Government, Story No. 2	Nov.	25t)	h, 1925
94.	Some Subjects Dealt with by the Toronto City Council and the Board of Control, 1925	Dec.	22n	d, 1925
95.	The Personnel of Our Civic Government, 1926	Jan.		t, 1926
96.	The Council-Manager Form of Municipal Government, Story No. 3	Feb.		h, 1920
97.	Open Letter: Salary Increases	March		h, 1926
98.	Planning Versus Confusion	April		h, 1926
99.	Harbour Front Situation	May		h, 1926
100.	City of Toronto Proposes to Spend on Current Accounts During 1926, \$28,190,117			
101.	Council-Manager Plan of Municipal Government, Story No. 4	June		t, 1926
102.	Council-Manager Plan of Municipal Government, Story No. 5A	Aug.		h, 1920
103.	Council-Manager Plan of Municipal Government.	Sept.		d, 1920
104.	Story No. 5B	Oct.		h, 1920
	Story No. 6	Oct.		h, 192
105.	Some Vagaries in the Ward System in Toronto	Nov.		h, 192
106.	It is Often Forgotten	Dec.		st, 192
107.	Penalties for Non-Voters	Dec.	28t	h, 192

REPORTS ISSUED

Topic.	Date.	
Administrative Study of the Toronto Department of Public Health As of March 1st, 1915	March, Feb.,	1915 1915
Why a Bureau of Municipal Research Was Needed Two Yours	Sept.,	1915
Ago—Why It Is Needed This Year	June,	1916
2nd Annual Report of B.M.R., Toronto	Feb.,	1916
City Budget Facts, 1916	Dec.,	1916
3rd Annual B.M.R. Report, Toronto	Feb.,	1916
"Toronto Gives"	June,	1917
City Budget Facts, 1917	Dec.,	1917
4th Annual Report of B.M.R., Toronto	Feb.,	1918
City Budget Facts, 1918	Sept.,	1918
The "Ward" Survey	Dec.,	1918
5th Annual Report of B.M.R., Toronto	Feb.,	1919
City Budget Facts	June,	1919
6th Annual Report of B.M.R., Toronto	Feb.,	1920
Biography of York Street School, Toronto	March.	1920
Measurement of Educational Waste in the Toronto Public Schools	May,	1920
I. The Physical Plant. II. The Building Department City Budget Facts, 1920—	June,	1920
Part I.	Sept.,	1920
Part II.	Dec.,	1920
7th Annual Report of B.M.R., Toronto	Feb.	1921
Biography of Park School, Toronto	Jan.,	1921
III. Purchasing. IV. Stores. V. Accounting	Feb.,	1921

OPEN LETTERS

In addition, many Open Letters which were not printed were sent out to the City Council, Board of Education or whatever Civic Body was concerned. The following examples show some of the subjects touched upon in this way.

Subject		Date	
The Necessity of Awarding the Building of the Live Stock Arena to the Lowest Bidder The Radial By-laws, Submitted to the People		1922	
Re Necessity of Adhering to Policy of Accepting the Lowest Tender re Water Pipe	Nov.	30th,	1923
A Study of the Civic Service by an Independent Committee The Amalgamation of the Street Cleaning Department	Jan.	12th,	1924
with the Works Department in the Interests of Economy and Efficiency	Feb.	23rd,	1924
The Employment, Supervision and Payment of Caretakers by the Board of Education	June	4th.	1924
The Proposed Pension System for Civic Employees	June	26th,	1924
Re Proposed Legislation Authorizing Municipalities to Guarantee Bonds of Hydro-Electric Power Commis- sion for the Purpose of Constructing Power Develop-			
ments, etc.	March	10th,	1925
Re Direct or Indirect Bonusing of Industries by City or "Outside" Boards	March	21st,	1925
Salary Increases and Standardization of Grades and Salaries in Civic Employment	Feb.	23rd,	1926

LIST OF SUBSCRIBERS

Abbs, Chas. E. Acme Dairy, Ltd. Adams, A. W. Adams Furniture Co., Ltd. Adams, Dr. J. Frank Adie, Edward Addison, Miss Margaret Aikenhead Hardware, Ltd. Alderson, W. H. Alvey, Wm. L. Ames & Co., A. E. Anderson & Cumming Anderson-Macbeth, Ltd. Applegath & Son, I. L. Armstrong, John J. Art Metropole, The Ashworth, J. J.
Auld, A. R.
Ault & Wiborg Co. of Canada, Ltd.
Austin, A. W.
Austin & Co., Carl
Auto-Strop Safety Razor Co., Ltd. Automatic Paper Box Co., Ltd. Aziz, J. & A. Baillie & Co., J. W.
Baker & Co., Ltd., Richard L.
Baker, R. P.
Ball, G. B.
Banfield, W. I. Barber-Ellis, Ltd. Barchard & Co., Ltd. Barker Bread Co., Harry Bark & Mortimer Barr, Walter J. Barron, George Bastedo, N. H. Bauckham, Chas. Bean, Dr. Harvey Beardmore, Alfred O. Beatty, Chas. W. Beatty, Miss Mary H. Becker, H. Beer, G. Frank Bell Telephone Co. of Canada, Ltd. Bengough, Miss May Bennett, E. J. Bennett & Elliott, Ltd. Bilton Bros. Blackwell, C. S. Blake, Hume Blake, Lash, Anglin & Cassels Boake Mfg. Co., Ltd. Boeckh Co., Ltd. Bodley, C. J. Bogert, Clarence A. Boland, J. F. Bolander & Selby, Ltd. Boone, Major C. A. Booth-Coulter Copper & Brass Co., Boulton, Gerald D.

Bowen, Dr. H. M. Boyd, Dr. Geoffrey Bradshaw, A., & Son, Ltd. Bradstreet Co., The Bredin, Mark Brigdens, Ltd. Brighton Laundry, Ltd. British-American Oil Co., Ltd. Britnell, Roy Brock, Lt.-Col. H. Brodrick, P. W. D. Brooks, O. J. Brooks, Wm. Brown Bros., Ltd. Brown, James
Bruce, Dr. H. A.
Bucke, Wm. A.
Buntin-Reid Co., Ltd.
Burr, W. H. Burroughes Furniture Co., Ltd., The F. C. Burt Co., Ltd., F. N. Burton, Chas. L. Business Systems, Ltd. Campbell, A. H. Canada Bread Co., Ltd. Canada Decalcomania Co., Ltd. Canada Landed & Nat. Investment Co. Canada Life Assurance Co. Canada Permanent Mortgage Corp. Canada Printing Ink Co., Ltd. Canadian Bank of Commerce Canadian Chewing Gum Co., Ltd. Canadian Credit Men's Association Canadian General Lumber Co., Ltd. Canadian Laundry Machinery Co., Ltd. Canadian Leather Products, Ltd. Canadian Manufacturers' Association Canadian Milk Products, Ltd. Canadian Oil Cos., Ltd. Canadian Pacific Express Co., Ltd. Canadian Pacific Railway Co. Canadian Packing Co., Ltd. Canadian Surety Co. Canadian Railway News Co. Candee, C. N.
Capreol, A. R.
Carnahan, Wm. J. A.
Carter Basil B. Cassels, Brock & Kelley Cassels, D. S. Cassidy's Ltd. Cawthra Elliott, Maj.-Gen. H. W. O. Central Canada Loan & Savings Co. Cherry, Percy G. Chitty, R. M. Willes Chesboro, R. G. Christie-Brown Co., Ltd.

Clark, Dr. Harold Clark, McPherson, Campbell & Jarvis Clarke & Co., Ltd., A. R. Clarke & Clarke, Ltd. Clarkson & Son, E. R. C. Cleland, Dr. F. A. Coatsworth, Mr. Justice E. Cockburn, J. Roy Cody, Hon. Dr. Collins, Chas. H. Conduits Co., Ltd. Conger Lehigh Coal Co., Ltd. Consolidated Plate Glass Co. of Canada, Ltd. Consumers' Gas Co. of Canada Copp, Wm. Corson, Ltd., Rolph R. Cox Coal Co., Ltd., W. H. Craig, Wm. Crean & Co., Ltd., Robert Croft & Sons, Ltd., Wm. Cuthbertson, C. R. Curry, S. G. Dale, Prof. J. A. Daly & Co., R. A. Dancy & Sons, Ltd., H. N. Davidson & Co., Ltd., Walter Davies & Co., Ltd., The Wm. Davis & Henderson Deavis & Henderson
Deacon, Col. Fred H.
Deeks, Geo. S.
Delany & Pettit, Ltd.
Devlin, C. D.
DeWitt, H. N.
Dickinson Dye Works, Ltd. Dilworth, R. J. Dingman, W. S. Diver Electrotype Co. Dodge Mfg. Co. of Can., Ltd. Dolph, C. Dominion Electric Protection Co., Douglas, C. P. Dun & Co., R. G. Dunham Co., Ltd., C. A. Dunington-Grubb, H. B. Dunlop Tire & Rubber Goods, Ltd. Dyment, A. E. Eaton Co., Ltd., T. Eby-Blain, Ltd. Eckhardt & Co., H. P. Eisman, Julius Elgie & Jarvis Lumber Co., Ltd. Elliot, J.
Ellis Bros., Ltd.
Ellsworth, Albert L.
Evans, H. W. Evans & Evans Evenis & Evalis Everall Co., Ltd., George Ewart & Co., Ltd., J. H. Farr, H. Y. Faskin, David Ferguson, Hon. G. Howard Fisher Co., Ltd., The James Flavelle, Bart, Sir Joseph

Flint Paint & Varnish, Ltd. Forster, J. W. L. Foster, D.S.O., Col. H. W. A. Fox, W. Claude Foy, F. C. Frankel Bros. Freyseng Cork Co., Ltd. Fuller, Charles H. Gage & Co., Ltd., W. J. Galbraith, A. C. Galt, K. C., T. P. Gandier, Rev. Dr. A. Gartshore, John J. Gibbons, Ltd., J. J. Gibson, R. E. Gibson Bros. Gibson, Theron Gilbert, Mrs. F. B. Giles, W. T. Gillespie, Prof. P. Gillett Co., Ltd., E. W. Godson Contracting Co., Ltd. Goldie, Dr. Wm. Gooderham, Geo. H. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. of Can., Ltd. Gordon, Colin F. Gordon, Mackay & Co.. Ltd. Gore, Nasmith & Storrie Graham, W. W. Grant Contracting Co., Ltd. Grant, P. G. Gray, Frank M. Gray, Rrank M.
Gray, R. A.
Green & Co., Ltd., John C.
Greene, A. R.
Gregg & Co., Ltd., G. R.
Gregory, W. D.
Grier, K.C., A. Monro Grip, Ltd. Gundy, J. H. Gurney, E. H. Halford, H. J. Hall, Thos. H. Hambly & Wilson, Ltd. Hamilton, Carhartt Cotton Mills, Ltd. Hamilton, Henry J. Hamilton, R. C. Hamilton Shoe Co., Ltd., W. B. Hancock, Ltd., T. H. Hargreaves, W. A. Harling, R. Dawson Harris Abattoir Co., Ltd. Harris, Dr. R. I. Harwood, H. S. Hathaway, E. J. Haugh Mfg. Co., Ltd., J. A. Hayes & Lailey Hellmuth, K.C., I. F. Henderson, David Henderson & Son, Elmes Henderson, S. Hendry Co., Ltd., Geo. M.

Hepburn, John T., Ltd.

Herod, W. J. Hetherington, Maj. E. A. Hetherington, J. A. Heward, C. Edwin High Park Garage & Supply Co. Heyes, H. R.
Hezzlewood, Oliver
Hicks, A. T.
Hillary, N. T.
Hillman, H. P. L. Hiltz, W. W. Hind, Edmund, Lumber Co., Ltd. Hinde & Dauch Paper Co. of Can., Hinman, H. R. Hogg, Albert O. Hoidge, W. H. Holden, John B. Hollinrake, T. A. Holmstead, Geo. S., K.C. Holt, Renfrew & Co., Ltd. Howe, Lyman P. Howell Warehouses, Ltd. Humphrey Co., Ltd., F. W. Hunt, F. W. Huntley, A. Hunter-Rose Co., Ltd., The Hynes, J. P. Hynes, Wm. J. Imperial Bank of Canada Imperial Oil Co. Independent Cordage Co. of Ont., Ltd. Inglis, Wm. Ingram, W. J. International Petroleum Co., Ltd. Ivey Co., Ltd., John D. Jenkins & Hardy Jones, Prof. Geo. M. Jones, James Edmund, P.M. Keith, Alex. Kent, John G. Kent-McClain, Ltd. Kents, Ltd. Kents, Ltd. Kerr, K.C., George Kerr, Hugh L. Kerr, John M. King, Samuel Kinnear, A. Roy Kirby, Richard G. Kirkpatrick, Col. A. E. Kirkpatrick, A. M. M. Laidlaw, John B. Laidlaw Lumber Co., Ltd., R. Lake Simcoe Ice Supply Co. Lalor & Co., John M. Land, R. E. A. Langley, G. H. Langley, J. P., & Co. Langley's, Ltd. Langton, W. A. Lawrence, A. G. F. Lawson, J. Earl Leach, F. R. Leadley, P.

Le Cras, Thos. J. Leamington Post & News Lennox, E. J. Lever Bros., Ltd. Linington, Connell Co., Ltd. Link-Belt Co., Ltd. Little, G. F. Littlefield, F. N. Livingston & Scott Loblaw Groceterias Co., Ltd. London & Lancashire Ins. Co., Ltd. London-Canada Ins. Co. Long & Daly Lorsch & Co. Lovells, Ltd. Lowry, Dr. W. H. Ludwig & Ballantyne Lumbers, Jas., Ltd. McBride, A. E. McCarthy & McCarthy McClelland, Donald M. McConkey, Thos. G. McClung, J. E. McCrea, F. A. McCreary, C. W. McCoubray, J. W. McDonagh, A. L. McDonell, Thos. E. McElroy Mfg. Co., Ltd. McGee, H. McGregor & McIntyre, Ltd. McKinnon & Co., W. L. McLaughlin, G. W. McLaughlin, J. J. McLaughlin Motor Car Co., Ltd. McLean, Dr. R. Gordon
McLean, R. T.
McLeod, J. A.
McLeod, Young, Weir & Co., Ltd.
McMaster, J. K. McMurrich, G. Temple McQueen, A. M. Macdonald, Miss Grant Macdonald & Co., John MacDonald, D. Bruce Macfarlane, E. S. MacInnes, B. S. Mackenzie, J. W. Mackenzie & Co., Ltd., W. A. Macklem, O. R. MacLean Publishing Co., Ltd. MacLeod, M. H. MacMillan Co. of Can., Ltd. MacPherson, G. A. Mail Printing Co., Ltd. Mara, W. H. Marani, Lawson & Paisley Marshall, C.M.G., D.S.O., Col. Kendric R. Martin, N. L. Mason, D.S.O., O.B.E., Lt.-Col. D. H. C. Mason, K.C., G. W. Mason, T. H.

Massey-Harris Co., Ltd. Massey, Lt.-Col. Robert F. Masten, Hon. C. A. Medland & Sons Meek, Prof. T. J. Menzies, H. P. Meredith, A. Metallic Roofing Co. of Can., Ltd. Meyers, Dr. D. Campbell Middleton, Mr. Justice W. E. Miles, Arthur W. Mills, W. G. Milner, Wm. S. Minehan, Rev. L. Mitchell, A. J. Mitchell & Ryerson Montreal, Bank of Montreat, Bank of Monypenny Bros. Moore, H. B. Moore, S. J. Moore, Wm. J. Morden, K.C., W. S. Moyle, David Mulholland, F. A. Muntz, G. H. Murray & Co. Nasmiths, Ltd. National Cash Register Co. of Can., National Trust Co., Ltd. Neilson, Ltd., Wm. Nisbet & Auld Nordheimer Piano & Music, Ltd. Northway & Son, Ltd., John O'Brian, Jas. B. O'Keefe's Beverages, Ltd. Osler, F. Gordon Otis-Fensom Elevator Co., Ltd. Otter, K.C.B., C.V.O., Sir Wm. D. Oxley, Maj. J. Morrow Packard-Ontario Motor Co., Ltd. Palmolive Co. of Can., Ltd. Park, Andrew Parker, Robert Parker's Dye Works, Ltd. Pascoe Clothes Shop Patrick & Co., W. G. Patterson Candy Co., Ltd. Paul, Dr. Edgar W. Pearce, C. T. Pearse, W. W. Pelham Nursery Co. Pellatt, C.V.O., D.C.L., Maj.-Gen. Sir Henry Phillips, Heber B. Plumptre, Mrs. H. Port Arthur, City of Porter, Dr. G. D. Poucher, F. B. Price, Dr. Frank D. Prime & Rankin, Ltd. Primrose, C.B., Dr. Alex. Pugh Specialty Co., Ltd. Pugsley, Dingman & Co., Ltd. Purdy, Mansell, Ltd.

Rathbone, Ltd., George Regent Tailors, Ltd. Rowell, Reid, Wright & McMillan Richards, Dr. G. E. Riddell, Stead, Graham & Hutchinson Riddell, Hon. W. R. Riseborough, John H. Robertson Bros., Ltd. Robertson Co., Ltd., Jas. Rogers, A. S. Rogers, J. B. Rolph, Clark, Stone, Ltd. Rooke, H. Ross, O. M. Ross, W. D. Rowell, Hon. N. W. Royal Bank of Canada Ruddy Co., Ltd., E. L. Russell Motor Car Co., Ltd. Ryrie-Birks, Ltd. Salada Tea Co. of Can., Ltd. Samuel & Benjamin, Ltd. Sanderson & Barclay, Ltd. Sandiford, M.Sc., Ph.D., Prof. Peter Saunders, Kingsmill, Mills & Price Saunders, Edward Scholfield, H. C. Scholl Mfg. Co., Ltd. Schulkins, W. Scythes & Co., Ltd. Segsworth, R. F.
Shapley, W. H.
Shaw, W. H.
Shea's Theatre Co., Ltd.
Sheet Metal Products Co., Ltd. Shenstone, Dr. Norman S. Shepherd, Mrs. H. E. Small, Mrs. Sydney Smallpeice, V. Norman Smith, John M. Smith, Walter Harland Smith Mfg. Co., Ltd. Snively, A. C. Sommerville, K.C., Norman Soole Printing Co. Southam Press. Ltd. Speight, T. B. Sproatt & Rolph Squair, J. St. Catharines. City of Standard Fuel Co. of Toronto Standard Sanitary Mfg. Co., Ltd. Stanton, H. G. Star, Toronto Daily Stark, H. L. Starr, Dr. F. N. G. Stauntons, Ltd. Steele-Briggs Seed Co., Ltd. Steele, R. C. Stewart, W. Dunlop Strathy, Gerard B. Strathy, Stuart

Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada

Sutherland, H. Swift Canadian Co., Ltd. Tamblyn, G.
Taylor, Henry A.
Taylor & Co., John
Thompson, Ahern & Co.
Thompson, Geo. W. Thompson, Major Boyce Tidy & Son, Ltd., S. Tindall, W. B. Toronto, Bank of Toronto Board of Trade Toronto Carpet Mfg. Co. Toronto Feather & Down Co., Ltd.
Toronto Home & School Council
Toronto Iron Works Toronto University Library Toronto Wet Wash Laundry Touche & Co., Geo. A.
Towers, A. S.
Trees & Co., Ltd., Samuel
Turnbull, H. C. Union Lumber Co., Ltd. Union Stock Yards of Toronto United Church Publishing House United Drug Co., Ltd. United Typewriter Co. Vale, Percival A. Van Nostrand, Lieut.-Col. A. J. Victoria Harbor Lumber Co., Ltd. Wictoria Paper & Twine Co., Ltd. Waldron, K.C., Gordon Walberg, C.E., E. A. Walker, E. C., & Sons, Ltd. Walsh, E. H, & Co., Ltd.

Warren, J. H. Warwick Bros. & Rutter, Ltd. Waters, D. Watson, Thos. Watson, W. G. Welch, Campbell & Lawless Weldon, I. H. Weller & Co., Ltd., A. Western Assurance Co. Weston, J. F. Whaley, Royce & Co., Ltd. White, Melville P. Whitelaw, A. L. Wickett & Craig, Ltd. Wickson, A. Frank Wickstead, Henry K. Wightman, R. Williams, H. H. Wilson, Alexander R. Wilson, Mrs. Turner Wilson Munroe Co., Ltd. Wilson Publishing Co. of Toronto, Ltd., The
Winlow, G. C.
Wood, E. R.
Woods, Geo. B.
Wodland, C. W. I.
Woods Mfg. Co.
Woolworth Co., Ltd., F. W.
Woolnough Corsetiers
Wreyford, Chas. D.
Wright, Dr. C. S.
Wrigley, Jr., Co., Ltd., Wm.
Wrong, Prof. G. M. Ltd., The

The Bureau of Municipal Research is, and has been from the first, supported by private subscriptions from public-spirited citizens. It has received no governmental or municipal grants, for the reason that its statements of facts, and suggestions as to policy must not only be independent and unbiased, but must be so considered by the general public. The value of the Bureau to the citizens of Toronto depends on its independence as an agency of constructive criticism and citizen cooperation.